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In this report we calculate the total radiated power of the EDGES antenna (which is a planar dipole over a ground plane) above the horizon. We use different beam simulations of the EDGES midband and low-band antennas (same configuration but scaled versions of each other) to make the below plots. We notice a glitch in the total gain calculation and we report them below:

**Normalized Total radiated power**

The total gain fraction above the horizon at each frequency is calculated by integrating the gain over all viewing angles above the ground \( (\theta > 0 \text{ deg}) \), according to:

\[
\text{gain} (\nu) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} G(\theta, \phi) \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi
\]

The gain of the **Midband antenna model - FEKO - Nive’s Simulation** is looked at below:

Mid Band - ground 30m X 30m - Soil: \( \varepsilon_r = 3.5 \) and \( \sigma = 2e-2 \) S/m

![Fig1: Total gain above the horizon versus frequency for Midband antenna with \( a. \) 1 deg Theta & Phi spacing](image)

There is a glitch at 92 MHz and it was investigated in the following ways...
1.) Different models:
   Midband antenna model - FEKO - azelq_blade9perf7mid.txt

![Graph of Gain Fraction vs Frequency](image)

*Fig2: Total gain above the horizon versus frequency for Mid-band antenna simulated by Alan. The glitch still exists*

**Notes:**
- The calculated gain fraction in each of the above cases is different
- But in each case there is a glitch at ~ 92 MHz
- The variation of the gain fraction with frequency is seen to increase in case 1 Vs the decrease seen in case 2 & 3.

2.) Changed the Theta and Phi Spacing
   Midband antenna over 30m X 30m Soil: $\varepsilon_r = 3.5$ and $\sigma = 2e-2$ S/m was resimulated with gain calculated at every 0.5 deg in theta and phi

![Graph of Gain Fraction vs Frequency](image)

*Fig3: Total gain above the horizon versus frequency for Midband antenna with 0.5 deg Theta & Phi spacing.*

- The glitch is still at the same frequency with the same amplitude
3.) Finner frequency sampling

Midband antenna over 30m X 30m Soil: $\varepsilon_r = 3.5$ and $\sigma = 2e-2$ S/m was resimulated with gain calculated at every 0.5 deg in theta and phi and at every 0.25 MHz instead of 1 MHz

![Fig4](./img/freq.png)

*Fig4: Total gain above the horizon versus frequency for Midband antenna with 0.5 deg Theta and Phi spacing and 0.25 MHz freq resolution.*

- The gain transitions occurs suddenly at 92.25 MHz and extends till 94.25 MHz.

4.) Change the radius of the port

Midband antenna over 30m X 30m Soil: $\varepsilon_r = 3.5$ and $\sigma = 2e-2$ S/m was resimulated with gain calculated at every 0.5 deg in theta and phi and at every 1 MHz

Radius changed from 1.5 mm to 0.75 mm

![Fig5](./img/both.png)

*Fig5: Total gain above the horizon versus frequency for Midband antenna with Same as fig3 but changed the radius from 1.5mm to 0.75 mm.*

- The glitch is found to be at the same frequency and is of the same amplitude.
5.) Different Antennas

**Lowband ground 10m X 10m - Soil: \( \varepsilon_r = 3.5 \) and \( \sigma = 2e-2 \)**

**Lowband - PEC**

![Graph](image1)

*Fig6: Total gain above the horizon versus frequency for lowband antenna a.) over real ground (old GP) with 1 deg Theta & Phi spacing, b.) PEC ground with 1 deg Theta & Phi spacing.*

**Lowband ground 30m X 30m - Soil: \( \varepsilon_r = 3.5 \) and \( \sigma = 2e-2 \) S/m**

![Graph](image2)

*Fig7: Total gain above the horizon versus frequency for lowband antenna over real ground (New GP) with 1 deg Theta & Phi spacing.*

**Notes:**
- In the lowband real ground - There is a glitch at ~72 MHz & 92 MHz (for both the ground plane designs)
- With the PEC ground - no glitch
6.) Changing Mesh Sizes
The configuration that was used in this study is the Lowband New ground plane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meshing type</th>
<th>Panel Mesh length</th>
<th>Ground plane mesh length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>(\lambda/12)</td>
<td>(\lambda/6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine</td>
<td>(\lambda/16)</td>
<td>(\lambda/8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse</td>
<td>(\lambda/8)</td>
<td>(\lambda/4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where \(\lambda\) is taken to be 3m.

**Lowband ground 30m X 30m - Soil: \(\varepsilon_r = 3.5\) and \(\sigma = 2e-2\) S/m**

![Graph](image)

Fig8: Gain Fraction Versus Frequency for different beam solutions of the Lowband New ground plane model. The variations are due to the meshing variations

- The glitch is seen to be independent of the mesh sizes

7.) Thickness of the Blade
The configuration that was used in this study is the Lowband old ground plane.

**Lowband ground 10m X 10m - Soil: \(\varepsilon_r = 3.5\) and \(\sigma = 2e-2\) S/m**

The blade was simulated to have a thickness of 3mm. Unlike the previous cases where it was just a flat rectangle with no thickness.
Changing the thickness of the blade did not affect the glitch locations and amplitude.

8.) Changed Gap between the panels

The configuration that was used in this study is the Lowband old ground plane.

**Lowband ground 10m X 10m - Soil: \( \varepsilon_r = 3.5 \) and \( \sigma = 2\times 10^{-2} \text{ S/m} \)**

The gap for the low band was changed from 0.013m to 0.022m.

Changing the thickness of the blade did not affect the glitch locations and amplitude.
9.) **Soil Characteristics**

   a.) **Conductivity**

   The configuration that was used in this study is the Lowband old ground plane.

   **Lowband ground 30m X 30m - Soil:** \( \varepsilon_r = 3.5 \) and \( \sigma = 2e-2 \text{ S/m} \)

   The conductivity of the soil was varied to study its effect

   ![Graphs showing total gain above the horizon versus frequency for low-band antenna with the old GP over different soil conductivities.]

   Fig11: Total gain above the horizon versus frequency for low-band antenna with the old GP over different soil conductivities.

   - The glitch is seen to move in frequency with the change in conductivity
   - The glitch disappears at the conductivities below 1e-2 (<1e-2).

   b.) **Dielectric constant**
The configuration that was used in this study is the Lowband old ground plane. **Lowband ground 30m X 30m - Soil: \( \varepsilon_r = 3.5 \) and \( \sigma = 2e-2 \) S/m**

The dielectric constant of the soil was varied to study its effect.

**Fig9: Total gain above the horizon versus frequency for low-band antenna with the old GP over different soil permittivities.**

- The glitch is seen to move in frequency with the change in permittivity.
- Unlike the change in conductivity, the overall gain seems to be constant.
- With increasing permittivity, from 3.5 to 4.5, the upper frequency glitch moves to lower frequencies.
- For 2.5,3 almost no glitch is seen.