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## Introduction

- In memo 130, on the recalibration of Rcv3 done in 2018_08, we noticed that it was difficult to calibrate the Antsim3 spectra
- In the same memo calibration of Rcv3 done in 2017 was shown for comparison where the residues of Antsim2 seemed reasonable.
- Between 2017 \& 2018, a couple of changes were made to the Receiver03 one of which was replacing the Bias -Tee
- Hence the old Bias Tee was put back into the Rcv03 and calibration was carried our in 2019_01
- This memo summarizes the results of this calibration.
- For this calibration to be similar to the one in 2017, we planned use Antsim2 as the cross check load.
- But on comparing the S11 of the Antsim used here to that in memo \#92 \& memo\#130, The antsim used might be Antsim1


## Files used:

 /data5/edges/data/Receiver03_2019_01_14_040_to_200/*temp*C
## Corrected s11:

/data5/edges/data/Receiver03_2019_01_14_040_to_200/*temp*C/S
11/corrected

## Standards used:

Male standard - Maury - 50.177 ohm ( 25 degC)
Female Standard - Phil's kit - 49.99 ohm (25 degC)

## Uncalibrated Spectra data [50-100 MHz] @ 25C for the loads



## Uncalibrated Spectra data [50-100 MHz] @ 25C for the Antsim

Old- Days 16-21



## Uncalibrated Spectra data [50-190 MHz] @ 25C for the loads



## Uncalibrated Spectra data [50-190 MHz] @ 25C for the Antsim



## Reflection coefficients of the loads @25C [50-100 MHz]



## Reflection coefficients of the loads @25C [50-100 MHz]




The S11 is the same between the two measurements: 1.) 2019_[16:21]; 2.) 2019_[31:33].
Memo \#92 indicates that thisS11 could be Antsim1 not Antsim2.

## Reflection coefficients of the loads @25C [50-190 MHz]






## Reflection coefficients of the loads @25C [50-190 MHz]




## Calibration Cross checks (50-100 MHz)

(17 terms to model C1 \& C2 and 18 terms to model Tu,Tc,Ts.





## Calibration Cross checks(50-190 MHz) - (30 terms to model $\mathrm{C} 1 \&$






